



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/743,959	12/22/2003	Robert S. Beach	IBM1P044A/SJ09-2000-0124U	9362
28875 759	90 03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
Zilka-Kotab, PC			KIM, PAUL D	
P.O. BOX 7211	20			
SAN JOSE, CA	95172-1120		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				~~~			
_		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan		10/743,959	BEACH, ROBERT S.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Paul D Kim	3729				
Period f	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply</li> </ul>	cation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	•			
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of time for the mailing date of this commuse period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M rill, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ntion.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>20 January</u> 2005.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 28	o)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits	is is			
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on 22 December		objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
		ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in f the priority documents have bee					
* (	See the attached detailed Office action	` ','	ot received.				
Attachmer							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	4) ∐ Interviev O-948) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Per No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/743,959 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is a response to the restriction requirement filed on 1/20/2005.

## Response to the Restriction Requirement

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Species B, claims 1-4 and 6-8, in the reply filed on 1/20/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the species are not patentable distinct. Upon further consideration, examiner agrees with the applicant's counsel that the election of species for Group I and II are not required. Therefore, examiner hereby withdraws the election of species of the last final office action mailed on 10/12/2004. However, because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement between Group I and II, the election of Group I has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- Claims 9-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
   1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 1/20/2005.
- 3. Examiner discussed the response to the election of the restriction requirement filed on 11/03/2004 and received authorization for the election of species was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Kotab on 3/15/2005.

# Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: --A METHOD OF MANUFACTURING
MAGNETIC RECORDING GMR READ BACK SENSOR--.

#### Claim Objections

5. Claims 1-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Re. Claim 1: The phrase "the magnitude" as recited in line 13 appears to be –a magnitude--.

Re. Claim 3: The phrase "the ABS" as recited in lines 3-4 appears to be –an ABS--. Appropriate correction is required.

Re. Claims 2-8: Change the phrase "A method" to -The method--.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 1, 2, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lin et al. (US PAT. 6,175,475).

Lin et al. teach a process of manufacturing a spin valve sensor comprising steps of: placing the sensor (400) in an external magnetic field as shown in Fig. 4; adjusting a magnitude of the magnetic field (412) to cause the magnetization of a ferromagnetic layer (420) in a bias tabs (415, 420, 430) to be substantially perpendicular (422) to the direction of the magnetic field; heating the sensor above a blocking temperature of both

Art Unit: 3729

of the antiferromagnetic layers; and, cooling the sensor below the blocking temperature of both of the antiferromagnetic layers in the presence of said magnetic field (see also col. 5, line 1 to col. 6, line 24).

As per claim 2 the heating and cooling are performed in a single sequence (equivalent with heating and then cooling the antiferromagnetic layers).

As per claim 7 a second antiferromagnetic layer (432) and the free layer (410) have substantially the same width as shown in Fig. 4.

As per claim 8 the first (430) and second (432) antiferromagnetic layers have substantially the same composition (NiO).

7. Claims 1, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Huai et al. (US PAT. 6,381,105).

Huai et al. teach a process of manufacturing a spin valve sensor comprising steps of: placing the sensor (16) in an external magnetic field as shown in Figs. 3 and 8; adjusting a magnitude of the magnetic field (I_s) to cause the magnetization of a ferromagnetic layer (41) in a bias tabs (41-43) to be substantially perpendicular to the direction of the magnetic field (I_s) as shown in Fig. 5A; heating the sensor above a blocking temperature of both of the antiferromagnetic layers; and, cooling the sensor below the blocking temperature of both of the antiferromagnetic layers in the presence of said magnetic field (see also col. 2, line 66 to col. 7, line 35).

As per claim 7 a second antiferromagnetic layer (47 or 96) and the free layer (44 or 74) have substantially the same width as shown in Fig. 5A or Fig. 8.

As per claim 8 the first (94) and second (96) antiferromagnetic layers have substantially the same composition (NiFe).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 3-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The prior art of record fails to disclose the claimed invention such as a direction of the magnetic field during the single sequence of heating and cooling is not oriented in a direction parallel to the ABS (as per claim 3), the magnetic field is varied from a start value to an optimum value during the single sequence of heating and cooling in the magnetic field (as per claim 4), and the magnetic field is increased above the optimum value and then reduced to the optimum value during the single sequence of heating and cooling in the magnetic field (as per claims 5 and 6). It is not obvious taken alone or in combination of other references fairly to suggest the claimed invention.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul D Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-4565. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,959

Art Unit: 3729

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul D Kim

Page 6

Art Unit 3729